

DEAN D. EFSTATHIOU, Acting Director

## **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

## **DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS**

"To Enrich Lives Through Effective and Caring Service"

900 SOUTH FREMONT AVENUE ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91803-1331 Telephone: (626) 458-5100 http://dpw.lacounty.gov

ADDRESS ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO: P.O. BOX 1460 ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91802-1460

IN REPLY PLEASE REFER TO FILE: AS-0

## **REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS – ADDENDUM 3** EXCLUSIVE FRANCHISE AGREEMENTS FOR THE FOLLOWING AREAS: OCEANVIEW/LA RAMBLA/WEST CARSON: RANCHO DOMINGUEZ/ WEST RANCHO DOMINGUEZ/ROSEWOOD; PIONEER/CARSON PARK: EL CAMINO VILLAGE/DEL AIRE/WISEBURN/ALONDRA PARK: AND **HACIENDA HEIGHTS**

Please take note of the following revisions, clarifications, and supplemental information that have been addressed regarding the Request for Proposals (RFP). (Please note that **bold** text has been added, and any text that has a strikethrough has been deleted from the RFP.) Part II of the RFP, Exclusive Franchise Agreements for the five areas listed above will be referenced as Agreement in this addendum. Unless otherwise indicated, the following revisions, clarifications, and supplemental information shall apply to all areas.

- 1. The website indicated on the Notice of Request for Proposals to download the RFPs has been changed to **http://dpw.lacounty.gov/asd/contracts**. Please note that all RFPs, Proposers' Conference sign-in sheets, and any applicable addenda and informational updates will be available on this website.
- 2. The commencement date of the collection pickups indicated in Part I, Section 1.A of the RFP and Exhibit 3A, Section B.1 of the Agreement has been amended to March 1, 2009.
- 3. A correction has been made to Item 7 of Addendum 2 in regards to the Proposer Profile. Please note that the Proposer Profile will no longer be added to Part I, Section 3.A.6, but will be added as Item 16 under Part I, Section 3.A of the RFP. Furthermore, the subsection "Additional Information" will become Item 17.
- 4. Part I, Section 5.D.2 of the RFP, Work Plan, has been revised to read as follows:

"The Evaluation Committee will evaluate the Proposers' Work Plan based on the extent to which the Work Plan demonstrates that the Proposer is likely to meet **or exceed** its performance obligations under the Franchise Agreement..."

July 17, 2008

July 17, 2008 Page 2

- 5. Section 5.D.4.a and 5.D.4.b of the RFP will be deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following language:
  - a) Disputes, Actions, Contests, and Debarments (Part I, Section 3.A.6.a, as amended in Addendum 2)

The Evaluation Committee will evaluate the number, subject matter, and significance of activities such as contract disputes, actions, contests, and debarments listed in response to Part I, Section 3.A.6.a, with respect to Proposer and related entities over the last five years. Such evaluation will take into consideration the probable effect of the activity on the Proposer's financial resources and viability, the risk to the County posed by the activity, and the likelihood of similar activity occurring should the Proposer be awarded this contract. Pertinent supplemental information submitted by the Proposer or included in the Proposer Profile (Part I, Section 3.A.16 of the RFP) such as the size of Proposer's business, scope of operations, reasons for the activity, outcome of the activity, and other relevant data, will be taken into consideration. Should the evaluators determine that the activity or the likelihood of similar future activity may impair contract performance, or place the County, its residents, or the environment at risk, they may assign a low or zero score for this evaluation category.

If the Proposal does not contain the signed representation and warranty of the Chief Administrative Officer, Chief Executive Officer, or other knowledgeable person required under Part I, Section 3.A.6 (Form PW-13), Contract Services staff may (but is not obligated to) contact Proposer and request submittal. The Evaluation Committee may award low or zero points if the Proposer does not make that representation and warranty.

b) Environmental history (Part I, Section 3.A.6.b as amended in Addendum 2)

The Evaluation Committee will evaluate the number, subject matter, and significance of activities with respect to Proposer and affiliates in California within the past five years listed in response to Part I, Section 3.A.6.b (Environmental History). Such evaluation will take into consideration the probable effect of the activity on the Proposer's financial resources and viability, the risk to the County posed by the activity, and the likelihood of similar activity occurring should the Proposer be awarded this contract. Pertinent supplemental July 17, 2008 Page 3

> information submitted by the Proposer or included in the Proposer Profile (Part I, Section 3.A.16 of the RFP) such as the size of Proposer's business, scope of operations, reasons for the activity, outcome of the activity, and other relevant data, will be taken into consideration. Should the evaluators determine that the activity or the likelihood of similar future activity may impair contract performance, or place the County, its residents, or the environment at risk, they may assign a low or zero score for this evaluation category.

> If the Proposal does not contain the signed representation and warranty of the Chief Administrative Officer, Chief Executive Officer or other knowledgeable person required under Part I, Section 3.A.6 (Form PW-13), Contract Services staff may (but is not obligated to) contact Proposer and request submittal. The Evaluation Committee may award zero point if the Proposer does not make that representation and warranty.

6. Exhibit H, Sample Bond for Faithful Performance, has been revised to read as follows:

"...as surety, are held and firmly bound unto the **COUNTY OF** LOS ANGELES <del>COUNTY</del> DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, State of California (hereinafter "County"), in the sum of..."

7. Question: Public Works has received the following additional questions and responded as follows:

Given the uncertainty as to commencement of collection, will the County consider inserting specific dates for the initial adjustment into Exhibit 10, Section A.2.a, A.2.b, and A.3; as, for example, July 1, 2010, for Section A.2.a and A.2.b; and July 1, 2011, for Section A.3?

Response: Public Works has chosen to not identify specific dates because unforeseen delays may occur that may postpone the rate adjustments for DOE CNG, DOE Diesel, and disposal fees.

The deadline for submission of proposals remains to be Wednesday, July 30, 2008, at 10 a.m.

July 17, 2008 Page 4

If you have questions concerning the above information, please contact Ms. Melissa Saradpon at (626) 458-4077, Monday through Thursday, 7 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

Very truly yours,

DEAN D. EFSTATHIOU Acting Director of Public Works

Ðn

GHAYANE ZAKARIAN, Chief Administrative Services Division

 $\label{eq:spublic} MS $$ P:\spub\CONTRACT\Melissa\Franchise\ADDENDA\Addendum 3.doc $$$