



GAIL FARBER, CHAIR  
MARGARET CLARK, VICE - CHAIR

LOS ANGELES COUNTY  
SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE/  
INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT TASK FORCE  
900 SOUTH FREMONT AVENUE, ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91803-1331  
P.O. BOX 1460, ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91802-1460  
[www.lacountyiswmtf.org](http://www.lacountyiswmtf.org)

July 26, 2016

The Honorable Ricardo Lara, Chair  
Senate Appropriations Committee  
1020 N Street, Room 2206  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Senator Lara:

**ASSEMBLY BILL 1669 (AMENDED JUNE 27, 2016)  
DISPLACED EMPLOYEES: SERVICE CONTRACTS: COLLECTION AND  
TRANSPORTATION OF SOLID WASTE**

The Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Committee/Integrated Waste Management Task Force (Task Force) **opposes** Assembly Bill 1669 (AB 1669). AB 1669 would provide a ten percent bidding preference to proposed bidders of contracts for the collection and transportation of solid waste if they agree to offer employment to employees for a minimum of ninety days from the prior contract to perform essentially the same services.

The Task Force is sensitive to the employment status and economic plight of displaced workers whose employment with a waste hauler was terminated due the waste hauler losing a contract. Although, current economic conditions in the State have improved since the economic downturn, the employment prospects for many laborers remain limited. However, the bill would usurp local authority to determine if the incentive proposed is appropriate for particular solid waste contracts since local governments already have the ability to implement incentives intended to reduce unemployment and/or which reflect other local economic conditions.

Local governments implement bidding processes for services primarily to assure services are rendered at the most affordable cost for residents. When ten additional percentage points are factored into the overall score of a contractor's bid, as proposed under AB 1669, the contract may be awarded to a bidder whose price is higher than the lowest responsible bidder. This preference may result in increases to ratepayers' fees for waste collection, recycling, and disposal services, which under the current terms of solid waste hauler contracts in Los Angeles County, would leave ratepayers committed to higher costs for up to ten years. When the bill's short-term benefits for extending employment contracts for no more than ninety days is fully examined, it becomes clear that they are not proportional to the long-term consequences of increased costs of

contracts. With regard to Garbage Disposal Districts, increases in contract terms may necessitate increases in local government fees to cover the additional cost, which in all likelihood would trigger Prop. 218. If this was to occur, there is a possibility that these extra costs would not be able to be recovered since it is extremely difficult to increase fees pursuant to Prop. 218.

Moreover, while the stated intent of this bill is to reduce unemployment and reliance on social services provided by local governments, the bill specifies that awarded contractors would not be required to retain employees if existing employees who are part of a collective bargaining agreement would be terminated to make room for new employees from the prior contractor. This provision implies that reduction of unemployment and utilization of social services is less important than maintaining represented employees, which appears unfair to unrepresented employees whose jobs would not be protected by the bill.

Pursuant to Chapter 3.67 of the Los Angeles County Code and the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (Assembly Bill 939 [AB 939], as amended), the Task Force is responsible for coordinating the development of all major solid waste planning documents prepared for the County of Los Angeles and the 88 cities in Los Angeles County with a combined population in excess of ten million. Consistent with these responsibilities and to ensure a coordinated and cost-effective and environmentally sound solid waste management system in Los Angeles County, the Task Force also addresses issues impacting the system on a countywide basis. The Task Force membership includes representatives of the League of California Cities-Los Angeles County Division, County of Los Angeles Board of Supervisors, City of Los Angeles, waste management industry, environmental groups, the public, and a number of other governmental agencies.

For the foregoing reasons, the Task Force **opposes** AB 1669, as amended. Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Mike Mohajer of the Task Force at [MikeMohajer@yahoo.com](mailto:MikeMohajer@yahoo.com) or at (909) 592-1147.

Sincerely,



Margaret Clark, Vice-Chair  
Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Committee/  
Integrated Waste management Task Force and  
Council Member, City of Rosemead

JJ:kk

P:\eppub\EA\EAF\TF\TF\Letters\2016\July\AB1669OpLtr(amendedJune272016).doc

The Honorable Ricardo Lara

June 26, 2016

Page 3

cc:

Assemblymember Roger Hernandez

Each Member of the Senate Appropriations Committee Consultant,  
Robert Ingenito

California State Association of Counties

League of California Cities, Los Angeles Division

Each member of the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

Sachi A. Hamai, Los Angeles County Chief Executive Officer

San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments

South Bay Cities Council of Governments

Gateway Cities Council of Governments

Westside Cities Council of Governments

Each City Mayor and City Manager in the County of Los Angeles

Each Member of the Los Angeles County Integrated Waste Management Task Force