

Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Committee/
Integrated Waste Management Task Force

Minutes for June 18, 2020

Los Angeles County Public Works
900 South Fremont Avenue
Alhambra, California

WEB CONFERENCE

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Margaret Clark, League of California Cities
Gideon Kracov, Los Angeles County Disposal Association
Betsey Landis, Environmental Organization Representative
Mike Mohajer, General Public Representative
Liz Reilly, California League of Cities-Los Angeles Division

COMMITTEE MEMBERS REPRESENTED BY OTHERS:

Craig Beck, rep by Charles Tripp, Long Beach Department of Energy
Robert Ferrante, rep by Sam Shammass, Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County
Barbara Ferrer, rep by Shikari Nakagawa-Ota, Los Angeles County Public Health
Mark Pestrella, rep by Carlos Ruiz, Los Angeles County Public Works
Enrique Zaldivar, rep by Reina Pereria, City of Los Angeles Bureau of Sanitation

COMMITTEE MEMBERS NOT PRESENT:

Jeff Farano, Institute of Scrap Recycling Industries
Jack Hadjinian, League of California Cities-Los Angeles Division
David Kim, City of Los Angeles
Wayne Nastri, South Coast Air Quality Management District
Rafael Prieto, City of Los Angeles

OTHERS PRESENT:

Martins Aiyetiwa, Los Angeles County Public Works
Jennifer Ang, Los Angeles County Public Works
Gabriel Esparza, Los Angeles County Public Works
Charles Darensbourg, Los Angeles County Public Works
Michael Harmon, Los Angeles County Public Works
Wayde Hunter, North Valley Coalition of Concerned Citizens
Gerald Ley, Los Angeles County Public Works
Adrian Perea, Los Angeles County Public Works
Carol Oyola, Los Angeles County Public Works
Chris Sheppard, Los Angeles County Public Works
Coby Skye, Los Angeles County Public Works
Kawsar Vazifdar, Los Angeles County Public Works
Jennifer Wallin, California Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery
Elizabeth Zaragoza, Public Works
Jeffrey Zhu, Los Angeles County Public Works

I. CALL TO ORDER

Meeting called to order at 1:11 p.m. by Ms. Clark.

II. APPROVAL OF THE MAY 21, 2020 MINUTES

Ms. Reilly motioned to approve the minutes as corrected. Ms. Landis seconded the motion. Ms. Pereira abstained. The motion passed.

III. REPORT FROM THE ALTERNATIVE TECHNOLOGY ADVISORY SUBCOMMITTEE (ATAS)

Mr. Christopher Sheppard reported there was a [presentation](#) from Mr. Jeff Anderson from Full Cycle Bioplastics. It is a California-based technology company that converts organic waste to high-value biodegradable plastic called PHA. It can be used to create single-use items that are fully compostable at industrial facilities and home composting units. The company currently has a demonstration project in Mountain View, California at Google's Headquarters where they are processing leftover food from the company's kitchens. Full Cycle Bioplastics is looking to partner with other types of facilities like anaerobic digestions, transfer stations, composting facilities, landfills, and wastewater treatment facilities to develop commercial scale projects.

Mr. Sheppard also reported the dates for the upcoming, postponed, and canceled events:

- Resource Recycling Conference – August 10-12, 2020 - postponed to 2021 in Austin, TX.
- CRRA 44th Annual Conference and Trade Show – August 16-20, 2020 - will be held virtually, unsure if entire conference and trade show is virtual.
- Waste Conversion Technology Conference – August 17-19, 2020 - postponed to August 16-18, 2021, in San Diego, CA.
- County Engineers Association of California Policy Conference – August 19 and 20, 2020 - will be held virtually.
- Biogas: Driving the US Circular Economy Webcast – September 10, 2020 - will be held virtually.
- 2020 NetZero Conference – September 15, 2020 - will be held virtually.
- The Southern California Solid Waste Management Forum Annual Conference - November 5, 2020 - typically in Pomona, CA but may be held virtually instead.

Mr. Sheppard continued with project updates:

- Mr. Mark McDannel of the Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County (CSD) reported that the biogas conditioning system at the Joint Water Pollution Control Plant is coming online in August. The new slurry receiving project is moving forward in two phases and CSD was able to reduce the cost from \$25 million to \$3 million. He also reported that during the COVID-19 slowdown, the amount of food waste slurry received at the Puente Hills Materials Recovery Facility decreased from 330 tons per day to 280 tons per day.
- Waste Management is pursuing an anaerobic digestion project with Hitachi Zosen INOVA at its Lancaster Landfill and will be able to share more with the ATAS at next month's meeting.

Ms. Landis commented that it seemed there are certain cities and areas using alternative technologies instead of composting. She asked if Mr. Sheppard will start determining the necessary acreage for alternative technology facilities to process various tonnages of organic waste feedstocks. Mr. Sheppard responded that this analysis is part of their conversion technology (CT) program. Staff has analyzed parcels within Los Angeles County that may have the appropriate zoning or acreage to support a CT facility. Mr. Sheppard added that staff has been reaching out to property owners to discuss these parcels, and that there is also a list of previously identified sites that staff regularly updates and shares with CT vendors.

IV. REPORT FROM THE FACILITY AND PLAN REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE (FPRS)

Ms. Landis reported on the Sunshine Canyon Landfill (Landfill) odor complaints from the South Coast Air Quality Management District (AQMD) for the month of May 2020. During the month of May 2020, 98 complaints were made to the AQMD hotline. When compared to May of 2019, the number of complaints for May 2020 increased from 2 to 98 complaints.

Ms. Landis reported that AQMD is now only investigating if they get three complaints per hour instead of one complaint per hour as they have done before. Mr. Wayne Hunter, a representative of the North Valley Coalition of Concern Citizens, provided staff a copy of an email that was sent to AQMD from the Sunshine Canyon Landfill - Community Advisory Committee (SCL-CAC) expressing their concerns.

The subcommittee approved a motion to send a Task Force letter to AQMD and copy each member of the AQMD Hearing Board about the changes in the

frequency of odor complaints investigated and referencing the SCL-CAC email. Motion passed with one abstention.

Ms. Landis reported that there was discussion on the Emergency Waiver granted by the Sunshine Canyon Landfill - Local Enforcement Agency (SCL-LEA) to the Landfill.

Ms. Landis also informed that she has asked the Landfill operator if they were testing their employees for COVID-19, and she was informed that they were not. However, if the employees feel ill, the employees would go on their own to get tested. The Landfill would keep track of who were tested if they are informed by their employees.

Ms. Landis gave an update on the COVID-19 concern letter that was motioned at the May 21, 2020, Task Force meeting and sent on June 17, 2020, to the Los Angeles County Department of Public Health (County Public Health) with correspondence to other agencies. The Public Health representative expressed concern that the letter was only sent to the Director of County Public Health and felt that considering the various issues addressed in the letter, such as organic waste and compost, the letter also needs to be addressed to other agencies for their responses. Ms. Landis indicated that she was informed that staff is currently preparing the additional letter and would be sending it to the other departments once completed.

Ms. Landis discussed the First Quarter Vegetation Report . She informed that Republic was going to remove the weeds every four weeks, to which Ms. Landis believed is insufficient because it should be every week due to grass growth and spread.

Ms. Landis also provided updates on Chiquita Canyon Landfill's current lawsuits. One lawsuit from October 2017 has been continued to June 2020 and a second lawsuit from September 2017 has been continued to 2020.

V. LEGISLATIVE UPDATE

Mr. Gerald Ley reported the State Legislature did send their budget to the Governor before the June 15, 2020 deadline. Mr. Ley gave an update on the revised legislative calendar:

- June 26, 2020 - Last day for each house to pass non-fiscal bills introduced in their house.
- July 2-12, 2020 - Summer Recess.

- August 31, 2020 - Last day for each house to pass bills.

Mr. Ley continued to the [Legislative Table](#). Assembly Bill 1506 was gutted and amended for other purposes with no interest to the Task Force and has been removed from the table. To date, there are 36 bills on the Legislative Table. Staff presented recommendations on the following bill:

SB 1156 (Archuleta) – Lithium-ion batteries: illegal disposal: fire prevention – Staff recommendation: Support. Mr. Mohajer motioned to support. Ms. Landis seconded. Motion passed unanimously.

VI. UPDATE ON SB 1383 DRAFT REGULATIONS

Mr. Christopher Sheppard gave a [presentation](#) on the updated draft Senate Bill 1383 (SB 1383) regulations. Mr. Sheppard provided a re-cap of the regulations, which will impose several requirements on local jurisdictions. He provided a timeline of the development of the regulations, stating that CalRecycle released the fourth formal draft for a 30-day public comment period in April 2020 and that CalRecycle has a deadline to resubmit the regulations to the California Office of Administrative Law (OAL) by September 2020. Mr. Sheppard added that the Task Force has submitted comment letters on all the drafts, including their most recent comment letter on the latest draft that was sent to CalRecycle on March 18, 2020. He continued to report that since the OAL did not approve the third formal draft, CalRecycle made minor revisions to address the primary reasons of disapproval, including removing mandatory penalties for container contamination, shortening and streamlining enforcement deadlines, and clarifying annual reporting deadlines.

Mr. Mohajer commented that the latest version of the regulations requires each individual jurisdiction to adopt an ordinance that would impose penalties on generators that do not comply on the requirements. He continued that the regulations mandate that the cities and counties impose penalties on residents and businesses. He continued if the County does not adopt an ordinance that imposes penalties on generators in the unincorporated areas that do not comply, then the County may be subjected to a penalty of \$10,000 per day per violation.

Ms. Landis asked if that money would go directly to CalRecycle. Mr. Mohajer responded that it would go to CalRecycle and they would be able to spend the money as they see fit. Ms. Landis commented that this regulation affects businesses and residents impacted by the pandemic and economic recession. Mr. Mohajer commented that the State legislature can change the regulatory

deadlines. He added that Senate Bill 1191 could have changed the deadlines, but the bill died before it received a vote.

Ms. Clark asked who made the change to shorten the enforcement deadline. Mr. Sheppard responded that CalRecycle made the change in response to the comments from the OAL. Ms. Clark asked if CalRecycle staff was in attendance and could respond to the issue of imposing penalties on jurisdictions who may be facing bankruptcy during the economic recession. Ms. Jennifer Wallin of CalRecycle responded that the change was made to add clarity as requested by the OAL and that she could obtain more information on this topic. Ms. Wallin added that only legislators can change the regulatory deadlines, not CalRecycle, because the dates are established in statute.

Ms. Clark asked if CalRecycle can write letters to the legislature expressing the cities' and counties' concerns and request to extend the regulatory deadlines. Ms. Wallin responded that CalRecycle has received similar letters from jurisdictions and that her colleagues Mr. Matt Henigan and Ms. Cara Morgan would be able to provide more information at future Task Force meetings on efforts to work with the legislature to extend the regulatory deadlines.

VII. UPDATE ON CALRECYCLE'S EIGHT SB 1383 CASE STUDIES

Ms. Kawsar Vazifdar gave a [presentation](#) on the Senate Bill 1383 (SB 1383) case studies that were prepared by CalRecycle franchise agreements, enforcement ordinances, edible food, and procurement. Ms. Vazifdar stated that the case studies provided valuable insights to help jurisdictions implement the SB 1383 regulations. The case studies did not include information related to the development of the actual regulations or the formal rulemaking process. Ms. Vazifdar pointed out that the populations of the jurisdictions in the studies ranged from 100,000 to two million. She continued that Los Angeles County would need to apply these case studies on a much larger scale and may experience some additional challenges. She recommended that the Task Force not submit any comments to CalRecycle because these studies are intended to be educational.

Ms. Landis asked whether the City of San Francisco's requirement for large generators with excessive contamination to hire a facilitator to sort waste for two years could expose the facilitator to COVID-19 from waste. Ms. Vazifdar stated that exposure to COVID-19 may occur. She continued that reducing contamination is important because waste received at alternative technology facilities, such as anaerobic digestion facilities, is subject to a contamination limit. Ms. Clark

expressed her agreement with Ms. Landis' comment that during the pandemic, auditors should not be sorting waste due to the possible spread of COVID-19.

Ms. Clark asked about the types of violations that required Alameda County to issue citations. Ms. Vazifdar responded that she is not familiar with Alameda County's mandatory recycling ordinance, but that she would identify the requirements enforceable by fines as compared to the requirements of the SB 1383 regulations and provide a response to Ms. Clark.

Mr. Tripp commented that he continues to propose that organic waste be handled by waste-to-energy plants, such as the Southeast Resource Recovery Facility (SERRF), to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) and methane emissions caused by landfill disposal. He continued that CalRecycle informed him that technologies to divert organic waste from landfills must demonstrate an emissions reduction compared to composting, not landfill disposal. Mr. Tripp requested the Task Force propose to CalRecycle that waste-to-energy facilities should be used for diverting organic waste. Ms. Reilly made a motion for Staff to write a letter to CalRecycle to consider waste-to-energy, which is a source of renewable energy, as a technology that can reduce emissions by diverting organic waste. Ms. Landis seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously.

VIII. UPDATE ON SUNSHINE CANYON LANDFILL LEA'S EMERGENCY WAIVER & ODOR COMPLAINTS BY THE NEIGHBORING COMMUNITY

Mr. Michael Harmon reported that on April 27, 2020, the SCL-LEA approved Republic's request for an Emergency Waiver of Standards for Sunshine Canyon Landfill. It allowed for extended daily and weekly tonnage limits and operational hours. Since the issuance of the waiver, SCL has disposed over the limit of 12,100 tons on one day, and SCL has yet to operate outside of the hours that are specified in the Solid Waste Facility Permit. As of June 11, 2020, the SCL-LEA has not modified or canceled the use of the waiver.

Based on the motion that was passed at the May 21, 2020, Task Force meeting, a Task Force letter dated June 17, 2020 was sent to County Public Health, with correspondence to CalRecycle, California Department of Food and Agriculture (Food and Agriculture), and the California Department of Public Health (California Public Health) to state concerns about meeting and potentially delaying the organics recycling, composting goals set forth by SB 1383, and investigating the

potential health risks and the impacts of COVID-19 in solid waste. The letter was also copied FPRS and Task Force members.

Mr. Harmon added that a letter is being drafted addressed specifically to CalRecycle and Food and Agriculture with correspondence to California Public Health, and County Public Health, stating the concerns regarding SB 1383 compliance, organic waste and compost, and other potential risks due to COVID-19. Upon completion, copies of the letter will be provided via email to the Task Force members.

IX. REPORT ON CALRECYCLE'S RECYCLING & DISPOSAL REPORTING SYSTEM (RDRS)

Mr. Charles Darensbourg reported on July 1, 2020, in accordance with Assembly Bill 901, CalRecycle's replacement of the disposal reporting system, with the recycling & disposal reporting system (RDRS). Since the implementation, the RDRS is the primary system for the designating reporting system for entities to report tonnages.

Public Works continued to request landfills to report in the County's Solid Waste Information Management System. The third and fourth quarter disposal data remains unavailable in the RDRS. CalRecycle states that these quarterly reports are currently going under staff review and the reports will be released once the data is analyzed. It was estimated to be released in early Spring 2020, but now the new estimate is early Summer 2020, but still not released.

Due to the unavailability of the RDRS reports, the County has had difficulties in obtaining reliable and complete disposal data for the third and fourth quarters of 2019 and this could cause a delay in annual jurisdictional reporting. The disposal reporting coordinators of nearby counties are now not required to report county external tonnage data. Public Works Staff has reached out to the neighboring counties to obtain information on the flow of waste. For counties that do not track their waste flow, Public Works Staff has reached out to individual disposal facilities to obtain the necessary information. Once Public Works Staff receives the complete RDRS report, Staff will be able to report on tonnages per generation, disposal, and diversion.

Mr. Mohajer added that in the past the County was responsible for providing the disposal tonnages to all jurisdictions on a quarterly basis. The disposal tonnages were used to determine whether a jurisdiction has complied with the state AB 939 that was passed in 1989. Now cities have to report whether they have, for example, recycled 75-percent by the year 2020, but the State has taken over the

gathering of collection, processing, and disposal numbers and is not providing the data. Once the data is received that a city disposed excess tonnages, then the city must find the generators and the haulers to collect data that may be up to two years old.

Mr. Mohajer also commented that regarding COVID-19, all were under the impression that COVID-19 contaminated solid waste, because it is due to an emergency; would not be counted as disposal against jurisdictions. CalRecycle's letter that was released on June 16, indicated it will be counted as a disposal and included many provisions that the cities must recognize on how to provide the data. This is important for the Task Force and jurisdictions in Los Angeles County to know. Mr. Mohajer requested an item be included in the next Task Force meeting explaining the letter from CalRecycle about COVID-19 contamination waste from residential disposals. Staff will review the letter and present to the Task Force.

Ms. Reilly asked if disposable gloves and masks that have been worn by residents would be considered COVID-19 contaminated waste. Mr. Kracov supports to place an agenda item on this topic and ask CalRecycle Staff to present. He mentioned of a document that was recently released from CalRecycle "[Tracking Disposed Recyclables due to COVID-19](#)" and that it is very important to review. Mr. Kracov continued that this is not about contaminated or COVID-19 contaminated materials, but is about all recyclables and not having enough processing capacity. Most recyclables were not able to be recycled and instead were sent to the landfills. Mr. Mohajer mentioned he has provided a copy of this letter to Public Works Staff. Mr. Kracov asked Public Works Staff if it is worth to have the presentation. Mr. Ruiz agreed that this is worth to have a presentation, especially during the pandemic since everything can be suspect of being exposed to COVID-19. He continued that there have been conversations to arrange for Matt Henigan and Cara Morgan of CalRecycle to join the Task Force meetings in the future.

X. TASK FORCE'S 2020 PRIORITIES, GOALS, AND OBJECTIVES

Mr. Carlos Ruiz reported that unfortunately he was not able to have materials ready for this month's meeting and requested to have this item back at next month's meeting. Mr. Kracov added that the COVID-19 pandemic has had an impact on the deadlines of the 2020 Priorities, Goals & Objectives and would like to work with Mr. Ruiz in updating the new deadlines.

Mr. Kracov has informed the Task Force that one of the goals is to have all 17 seats of the Task Force memberships be filled. He mentioned that the City of Los Angeles has four seats within the Task Force: The Bureau of Sanitation

(LASan) seat that belongs to Ms. Pereira, the Legislative Analyst seat that belongs to Mr. Rafael Prieto, and two other seats that were Mayor-appointed. Mr. David Kim from Best Way Recycling informed Mr. Kracov that he would be resigning from his seat from the Task Force. Mr. Kracov notified Task Force Staff to complete his formal resignation process. He also mentioned that with Mr. Kim's soon-to-be vacant seat and the current vacant seat that the City of Los Angeles has, Mr. Kracov will work with Staff to fill in those vacancies.

XI. CALRECYCLE UPDATE

Ms. Jennifer Wallin, representative of CalRecycle, reported that unfortunately her colleagues were not able to attend today's meeting because of another matter. Ms. Wallin continued to provide CalRecycle's upcoming public meetings, events, and application due dates:

- SB 1383 Progress Analysis Webinar – tentatively scheduled for June 15, 2020, has been canceled. A new date is to be determined.
- 2019 Electronic Annual Report – Released on June 15, 2020 – Jurisdictions can now login updating most of their data. Disposal data is delayed (not in the report), so no calculation of per capita rate. There is an expectation to have that information in Mid-August, and once it is inserted into e-report, there would be a minimum of four weeks for the report to be submitted.
- Household Hazardous Waste Grant Program (FY 2020-21) – Construction – Application Due Date: July 2, 2020 (previously April 2, 2020).
- Used Oil Payment Program – fund is currently diminished due to a variety of factors – July 9, 2020 due date remains.
- Household Hazardous Waste Grant Program (FY 2020-21) – Small Projects – Application Due Date: January 1, 2022.
- Statewide Commission on Recycling Markets and Curbside Recycling Webcast – June 24, 2020, at 1 p.m.

Mr. Kracov requested to include an agenda item on the guidance that CalRecycle provided on [tracking disposed recyclables due to COVID-19](#) and have the senior staff of CalRecycle present it.

Mr. Kracov brought up the CalRecycle public notice: "[Public Notice: Compliance Investigation Results Regarding the Implementation of Mandatory Commercial Recycling \(MCR\) and/or Mandatory Commercial Organics Recycling Programs for the Jurisdictions listed](#)". It has certain investigations that could potentially lead to enforcement for the jurisdictions listed in this notice. He asked the Task Force, Public Works Staff, and Ms. Wallin if they were aware of this notice and that it seems to him that CalRecycle is stepping up their enforcement, even during the

COVID-19 pandemic. Ms. Wallin mentioned that she is the contact for this item and has authored a lot of this notice since some of the jurisdictions listed are within Los Angeles County. She responded that this is the fourth public item along the similar public notices in the past: March 2017, April 2018, January 2019, and now June 2020. She said that working with jurisdictions specific to the MCR programs, they go through a process of identifying any issues with the program's implementation and working with jurisdictions informally to see how the jurisdictions are addressing the issues. After a period of time with no resolution, the case would be elevated and would be indicated that a 30-day notice and ask for a formal plan to address the program's gaps.

Ms. Wallin said it was unfortunate timing as these notices were sent in January 2020 to jurisdictions between Los Angeles and Orange County. Due to the current COVID-19 situation, the timeframes have been expanded and she is able to say that all jurisdictions were able to submit their programs, and CalRecycle would review the plans that are robust and lead to an effective program.

Ms. Landis wanted to comment that she had attended a conference, along in attendance were other cities and the League of Cities, and that the general sense she received were that cities were frantic on how to manage the rapid decrease in income and right now would not be the time to implement a new program. Ms. Wallin responded that there was consideration that they are very close to the implementation of SB 1383, and CalRecycle is not focusing specifically on the MCR and the mandatory organics programs onto the businesses. Ms. Landis said that 2022 is too soon for the jurisdictions to come up with these plans because of the disruption of the COVID-19 pandemic and CalRecycle would need to be considerate to these jurisdictions so the jurisdictions may have time to recover.

Mr. Kracov wanted clarification on what Ms. Wallin indicated; that only a portion of the cities received the public notice and that there will additional cities within Los Angeles County receiving the notice. Ms. Wallin responded that back in January 2020 the notice was sent to 38 Los Angeles County jurisdictions and nine to Orange County jurisdictions. She continued that at this point since the plans were submitted, there is a level of commitment that must be met in working on the plans and proceeding to finalize them to put them in the public item. Ms. Wallin suspects that there will be jurisdictions that may not be able to finalize the plans with CalRecycle for a variety of reasons and will be discussed. Regarding additional 30-day letters, she believes CalRecycle would have another group to send a 30-day letter based on the understanding of the program implementation

and similar concerns to the group of jurisdictions that received the letter that was sent from January 2020.

Mr. Kracov clarified for Public Works Staff that it looks like a quarter or more of the cities within Los Angeles County will receive the notice on serious deficiencies in both blue and black bin programs. Mr. Kracov asked if the Task Force had any role in planning things or if they had an interest. Mr. Ruiz responded that based on the Public Works Staff experience, CalRecycle's local staff are very good to work with. Unfortunately, the overarching policy regarding compliance and enforcement is established in Sacramento. When Assembly Bill 1826 (AB 1826) was being proposed, the Task Force had concerns with some of the language within the bill and it had to do with assessing if jurisdictions had made a "good faith effort". There are several things that CalRecycle would look at, such as the extent to which businesses have complied with the MCR requirements. The concern was that jurisdictions could make services available and reach out to businesses, but there were no enforcement provisions in AB 1826 to compel businesses to comply. If "good faith effort" was measured by the extent to which the businesses have complied and participation is low, it could be interpreted to mean that the jurisdiction has not done enough.

Mr. Ruiz mentioned the Task Force can offer legislative solutions to address some of the concerns, but it is already part of State law and it is up to CalRecycle to interpret the law and how to enforce it. Mr. Ruiz said generally the Task Force role is to advise jurisdictions as they develop their plans. When it gets to the enforcement phase, he does not see a role for the Task Force other than advising with regard to general concerns, suggesting legislative solutions, and providing information to jurisdictions.

Mr. Kracov suggested sending Task Force letters addressing the cities concerns with the programs, and perhaps commenting through the new statewide recycling commission (Mr. Coby Skye sits on the commission), and sending letters to this commission which begins meeting next week.

The Task Force members requested Public Works Staff to send a letter to respective CalRecycle staff who will be joining the Task Force meetings in the future regarding the public notice letter that was sent to some jurisdictions in Los Angeles County. The public notice in question is titled "Public Notice: Compliance Investigation Results Regarding the Implementation of Mandatory Commercial Recycling (MCR) and/or Mandatory Commercial Organics Recycling Programs for the Jurisdictions listed".

XII. PUBLIC COMMENT

No public comment.

XIII. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 3:16 p.m. The next meeting is scheduled remotely on Thursday, July 16, 2020.