Facility and Plan Review Subcommittee Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Committee/ Integrated Waste Management Task Force

Minutes of February 18, 2010 Meeting

County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works
Conference Room C
900 South Fremont Avenue
Alhambra, California

SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Betsey Landis, Environmental Organization Representative Mike Mohajer, General Public Representative Paul Alva, County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works Christopher Salomon, County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County Gerardo Villalobos, County of Los Angeles Department of Public Health

SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS NOT PRESENT:

Charles Modica, City of Los Angeles

OTHERS PRESENT:

Chuk Agu, County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works
Joe Bartolata, County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works
Russell Bukoff, County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works
Wayde Hunter, North Valley Coalition, Granada Hills North Neighborhood Council
Carol Ly, County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works
Corey Mayne, County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works
David Nguyen, County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works
Mateusz Suska, County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works
Jeff Duhamel, Waste Systems

Facility and Plan Review Subcommittee
Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Committee/
Integrated Waste Management Task Force
Minutes of February 18, 2010
Page 2 of 4

I. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 11:15 a.m.

II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FOR MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2010

A motion to approve the Minutes of the January 21, 2010, meeting was unanimously approved.

III. COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN FIVE-YEAR REVIEW REPORT UPDATE

Mr. Mateusz Suska provided an update on the Draft Five-Year Review Report of the Los Angeles County Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan which was first presented to the Subcommittee on January 21, 2010.

As part of the update, Mr. Suska distributed the following handouts:

- Revised Draft Five-Year Review Report of the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan
- Revised Draft Five-Year Review Report Appendices
- Summary sheet of comments received from the FPRS members at the January 21, 2010 meeting

Mr. Suska stated that Subcommittee comments from the January 21, 2010 meeting were incorporated into the revised draft and noted additional content was added, and that the data tables were consolidated and moved to the appendices, and therefore the page numbers of the original and revised draft are no longer synchronized. He also asked the Subcommittee to review the revised draft and provide comments as soon as possible.

IV. COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT TENTATIVE PRELIMINARY DRAFT UPDATE

Mr. Chuk Agu gave a PowerPoint update on the Countywide Siting Element (CSE) Tentative Preliminary Draft which was mailed to the Subcommittee on February 4, 2010. Mr. Agu indicated that staff is not requesting comments at this

Facility and Plan Review Subcommittee
Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Committee/
Integrated Waste Management Task Force
Minutes of February 18, 2010
Page 3 of 4

time, but would prefer to receive comments from the Subcommittee by April 1, 2010, as requested in the Tentative Preliminary Draft submittal memo.

Mr. Agu's presentation focused on background information on the Tentative Preliminary Draft submittal; the content of the Tentative Preliminary Draft; major revisions since the last draft submittal; additional issues to consider for the preliminary draft review and approval; remaining CSE revision process steps; and the next steps. Mr. Agu also discussed the various handouts provided to the Subcommittee at the meeting, including: CSE Photo Selection Table (with over 200 thumbnails of photos and images chosen from various stock photography and image databases) for use in publishing the CSE; Summary of the Revised CSE Submittals and presentations to the Subcommittee and Task Force; and the Summary of Pages, Tables, Fact Sheets, Figures and Flow Charts in the CSE.

Mr. Agu indicated that the general format of the CSE is similar to the 1997 version except for the figures and fact sheets that are now placed at the back of each chapter. Mr. Agu also noted that the Tentative Preliminary Draft contains 953 pages for the redline version, and 825 pages of the clean version, including 70 tables, 24 fact sheets, 81 figures, and 13 flowcharts.

Mr. Agu also briefly explained additional items to be considered in finalizing the preliminary draft including Task Force review and approval of the Tentative Preliminary Draft; chapter table of contents; tables, fact sheets, figures and flowcharts; glossary of terms and multiple definitions; materials for the appendices; chapter chronology; selection of photos and images; cover page design; and additional (new) chapter. Mr. Agu also briefly explained the remaining steps in the CSE revision and approval process.

Mr. Agu indicated that the next steps are (1) review and comment on the Tentative Preliminary Draft by the FPRS, TF, and key County agencies; (2) establishment/implementation of service contracts to facilitate the CSE document design and graphic publication; CSE environmental document; printing and duplication of CSE and environmental document; CSE website development; and public information meeting assistance; (3) publication of Tentative Preliminary Draft; (4) preparation of final preliminary draft CSE; and (5) preparation of preliminary and final draft environmental document.

Facility and Plan Review Subcommittee
Los Angeles County Solid Waste Management Committee/
Integrated Waste Management Task Force
Minutes of February 18, 2010
Page 4 of 4

Mr. Agu also mentioned that staff will be creating a website for the CSE project to facilitate CSE public notices and updates; data warehousing of the various draft CSE documents for easy accessibility; public/stakeholder review and comment and response to the public/stakeholder comments; and the public information meeting process. The Subcommittee supported the idea of the website.

The Subcommittee commended staff on a job well done on the Tentative Preliminary Draft and also instructed staff to: (1) choose a definition for each term (in the Glossary of Terms) that is clear, concise, and best describes the term for the purpose of the CSE; (2) make the entire tentative draft CSE document's format and content consistent; and (3) provide a schedule/timeline for the remaining steps of the CSE revision process.

V. OPEN DISCUSSION/PUBLIC COMMENT

None.

VI. NEXT MEETING DATE

To be announced at a later date.

VII. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 12:29 p.m.